

California Child Welfare Services
Outcome & Accountability County Data Report
(Child Welfare Supervised Caseload)
Shasta
January 2007

Quarterly Outcome and Accountability County Data Reports published by the California Department of Social Services (CDSS) provide summary level Federal and State program measures that will serve as the basis for the county self-assessment reviews and be used to track State and county performance over time. It is important that counties not draw comparisons to performance in other counties or even the State as a whole due to the differences in demographics, resources, and practice. The intent of the new system is for each county, through their self-assessment review based on their data, to determine the reasons for their current level of performance and to develop a plan for measurable improvement.

Assembly Bill (AB) 636 requires a series of measures that provide indicators of key program outcomes, processes, and receipt of critical services. The outcome measures are also, at a minimum, consistent with those outcomes of the federal Child and Family Services Review in that the federal indicators are a subset of the State's indicators under this new system. Under the new Outcomes and Accountability System it is expected that the state will not only improve its performance on the federal indicators but on an even broader set of state enhanced indicators. The data in this report focuses on critical safety, stability, family, and well-being measures that are currently available, and that are provided to counties for on-going assessment of their programs' performance. **New data are added and some old data have been updated in this report. The data in this report reflect the Original outcomes for data available through July 1, 2006. We have also included Updated (refreshed) data for most time periods, run on data from more recent extracts than those that were originally posted. Differences between the Original and Updated values for these measures are probably due to improved data entry and cleanup efforts in some counties and Quarter 3, 2005 and Quarter 4, 2005 modifications in CWS/CMS to county of removal and placement counter variables. Uniform Resource Locators (URLs) included in this document direct the viewer to summary data across counties and breakouts by age, race, gender, and over time, including refreshed data for time periods earlier than those included in this report for all UCB developed measures.** This Outcome and Accountability County Data Report will provide the state with a county-by-county detailed description of each element that comprises the service delivery system.

The data source for these reports is the Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS), which became fully operational in all 58 counties on December 31, 1997. Counties are responsible for inputting data on CWS/CMS as part of their process to manage their caseloads of children and families who receive child welfare services. The accuracy of the information derived from CWS/CMS is continuously improving. As with any large automation system it provides a broad range of challenges and benefits as it continues to undergo improvements to keep abreast of the changing child welfare system.

Comparison of data across counties should be done with caution. First, counties may have

different data management practices. Though data are recorded on one statewide database system (CWS/CMS), differences in data entry and update may influence outcome measures reported here. Second, the social and economic contexts within which child welfare services are provided vary widely among the 58 counties of California.

In this report data measures have been grouped into the four general categories of information: Child Welfare Services Participation Rates; Safety Outcomes; Permanency Outcomes; and Child and Family Well-Being Outcomes. The data for these categories are presented as follows:

CHILD WELFARE SERVICES PARTICIPATION RATES

This section provides data on the number, and number per 1,000 children in the county/state, for key child welfare indicators. It is intended as background information to assist your county in analyzing your county's performance by the outcome indicators. This section was developed by the University of California, Berkeley (UCB).

Number of children < 18 in population

Population projections from California Department of Finance (based on the 2000 U.S. Census).

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/rates.asp#countyrate>

Year	Number
2005	41,733
2004	41,717
2003	41,994
2002	42,271

Number and rate of children with referrals

Unduplicated count of child clients < age 18 in referrals during the indicated year, per 1,000 children < age 18 in population.

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/rates.asp#countyrate>

Year	Number	Rate	
		Original	Updated
2005	2,998	71.9 per 1,000	71.8 per 1,000
2004	3,097	74.5 per 1,000	74.2 per 1,000
2003	3,081	73.6 per 1,000	73.4 per 1,000
2002	3,126	74.5 per 1,000	74.0 per 1,000

Number and rate of children with substantiated referrals

Unduplicated count of child clients < age 18 in referrals during the indicated year that had substantiated allegations, per 1,000 children < age 18 in population.

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/rates.asp#countyrate>

Year	Number	Rate	
		Original	Updated
2005	812	19.2 per 1,000	19.5 per 1,000
2004	742	17.4 per 1,000	17.8 per 1,000
2003	770	17.7 per 1,000	18.3 per 1,000
2002	626	15.0 per 1,000	14.8 per 1,000

Number and rate of first entries

Unduplicated count of children < age 18 entering a child welfare supervised placement episode of at least five days duration for the first time during the indicated year, per 1,000 children < age 18 in population.

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/firstentries/Rates.asp>

Year	Number	Rate	
		Original	Updated
2005	233	5.2 per 1,000	5.6 per 1,000
2004	211	5.3 per 1,000	5.1 per 1,000
2003	238	5.6 per 1,000	5.7 per 1,000
2002	191	4.8 per 1,000	4.5 per 1,000

Number and rate of children in care

Number of children < age 19 in child welfare supervised foster care on the indicated date, per 1,000 children < age 19 in population.

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Pointintime/fostercare/childwel/prevalence.asp>

Date	Number	Rate	
		Original	Updated
Jul 1, 2006	567	12.7 per 1,000	--
Jul 1, 2005	586	13.3 per 1,000	13.2 per 1,000
Jul 1, 2004	584	14.5 per 1,000	13.1 per 1,000
Jul 1, 2003	558	13.3 per 1,000	12.4 per 1,000

SAFETY OUTCOMES

These measures are designed to reflect the effectiveness of efforts to protect children from abuse/neglect by reporting instances of abuse and neglect at various stages of child welfare services and process measures which reflect the frequency of social worker contact with children and the speed of face-to-face investigation of abuse/neglect allegations.

Recurrence of Maltreatment (1A and 1B)

This measure reflects the percent of children who were victims of child abuse/neglect with a subsequent substantiated report of abuse/neglect within specific time periods. It is both a state and federal outcome measure. This measure was developed by UCB.

Federal: Of all children with a substantiated allegation within the first six months of the 12-month study period, what percent had another substantiated allegation within six months? (limited to dispositions within the study year, according to federal guidelines).

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_recurrence.asp

1A. Percent recurrence of maltreatment (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/05-06/30/06	3.9%	--
04/01/05-03/31/06	3.6%	3.6%
01/01/05-12/31/05	5.6%	5.6%
10/01/04-09/30/05	9.1%	9.1%
07/01/04-06/30/05	8.0%	8.0%
04/01/04-03/31/05	2.9%	2.9%
01/01/04-12/31/04	2.1%	2.1%
10/01/03-09/30/04	2.3%	2.3%
07/01/03-06/30/04	5.2%	5.2%
04/01/03-03/31/04	9.5%	9.5%
01/01/03-12/31/03	10.0%	10.0%
10/01/02-09/30/03	10.6%	10.6%
07/01/02-06/30/03	10.0%	10.3%

State: Of all children with a substantiated referral during the 12-month study period, what percent had a subsequent substantiated referral within 12 months?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/recurrence.asp>

1B. Percent recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/04-06/30/05	8.5%	--
04/01/04-03/31/05	6.7%	6.7%
01/01/04-12/31/04	6.2%	6.2%
10/01/03-09/30/04	5.2%	5.2%
07/01/03-06/30/04	5.8%	5.8%
04/01/03-03/31/04	8.1%	8.3%
01/01/03-12/31/03	10.0%	10.2%
10/01/02-09/30/03	12.7%	12.7%
07/01/02-06/30/03	14.5%	15.0%
04/01/02-03/31/03	14.4%	15.3%
01/01/02-12/31/02	15.6%	16.6%
10/01/01-09/30/02	14.3%	14.8%
07/01/01-06/30/02	17.1%	17.2%

State: Of all children with a **first** substantiated referral during the 12-month study period, what percent had a subsequent substantiated referral within 12 months?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/recurrence.asp>

1B. Percent recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months after first substantiated allegation		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/04-06/30/05	8.5%	--
04/01/04-03/31/05	7.1%	7.2%
01/01/04-12/31/04	6.8%	6.8%
10/01/03-09/30/04	5.2%	5.2%
07/01/03-06/30/04	4.8%	4.8%
04/01/03-03/31/04	6.8%	6.8%
01/01/03-12/31/03	9.3%	9.2%
10/01/02-09/30/03	12.9%	12.8%
07/01/02-06/30/03	14.0%	14.4%
04/01/02-03/31/03	13.3%	14.4%
01/01/02-12/31/02	13.7%	14.9%
10/01/01-09/30/02	13.4%	14.0%
07/01/01-06/30/02	14.5%	14.6%

Rate of Child Abuse and/or Neglect in Foster Care (1C)

This measure reflects the percent of children in foster care who are abused or neglected while in foster care placement. This data was developed by UCB. It is a federal outcome measure, but for a period of 12 months instead of 9 months.

For all children in child welfare supervised foster care during the twelve month review period, what percent had a substantiated allegation by a foster parent during that time?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_abuse.asp

1C. Percent rate of child abuse and/or neglect in foster care (Fed) ¹		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/05-06/30/06	0.00%	--
04/01/05-03/31/06	0.00%	0.00%
01/01/05-12/31/05	0.00%	0.13%
10/01/04-09/30/05	0.00%	0.13%
07/01/04-06/30/05	0.00%	0.12%
04/01/04-03/31/05	0.00%	0.12%
01/01/04-12/31/04	0.00%	0.00%
10/01/03-09/30/04	0.00%	0.00%
07/01/03-06/30/04	0.00%	0.00%
04/01/03-03/31/04	0.00%	0.00%
01/01/03-12/31/03	0.00%	0.00%
10/01/02-09/30/03	0.00%	0.00%
07/01/02-06/30/03	0.00%	0.00%

¹ As of Quarter 4, 2005, the CFSR abuse in care reports have employed a new method and should not be compared to the previously published abuse in care measure:

1. This new method includes all children served, not just those in non-relative foster care (foster homes or FFAs) and covers a 12 month period. For details, please see the methodology at:

http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/method_ACLabuseinCare.html

2. Capturing this data involves new data instructions for counties. An All County Letter (ACL), distributed on December 3, 2003, discusses the method of populating the necessary variables.

The ACL can be viewed at: <http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/getinfo/acl03/pdf/03-61.pdf>

As a result, an increase in rates over time likely reflects the adoption of the new data entry procedures, not necessarily an increase in abuse.

Rate of Recurrence of Abuse and/or Neglect in Homes Where Children Were Not Removed (2A)

This measure reflects the occurrence of abuse and/or neglect of children who remain in their own homes. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state outcome measure.

Of all the children with allegation (inconclusive or substantiated) during the 12-month study period who were not removed, what percent had a subsequent substantiated allegation within 12 months?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#2A>

2A. Percent rate of recurrence of abuse/neglect in homes where children were not removed		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/04-06/30/05	8.9%	--
04/01/04-03/31/05	7.3%	--
01/01/04-12/31/04	6.8%	--
10/01/03-09/30/04	6.8%	--
07/01/03-06/30/04	5.4%	5.4%
04/01/03-03/31/04	8.5%	8.5%
01/01/03-12/31/03	10.4%	10.5%
10/01/02-09/30/03	9.8%	9.8%
07/01/02-06/30/03	8.4%	8.4%
04/01/02-03/31/03	8.6%	8.9%
01/01/02-12/31/02	8.8%	9.0%
10/01/01-09/30/02	7.8%	7.8%
07/01/01-06/30/02	9.5%	--

Child Abuse/Neglect Referrals with a Timely Response (2B)

This is a process measure designed to determine the percent of cases in which face-to-face contact with a child occurs, or is attempted, within the regulatory time frames in those situations in which a determination is made that the abuse or neglect allegations indicate significant danger to the child. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state process measure.

Percent of child abuse and neglect referrals that require an investigation in the study quarter that have resulted in an in-person investigation stratified by immediate response and ten-day referrals, for both planned and actual visits.

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#2B>

2B. Percent of child abuse/neglect referrals with a timely response				
	Immediate Response Compliance		10-Day Response Compliance	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
Q2 2006*	82.5%	--	80.2%	--
Q1 2006	97.6%	--	84.7%	--
Q4 2005	96.6%	--	86.3%	--
Q3 2005	98.0%	--	80.9%	--
Q2 2005	94.6%	94.5%	83.8%	83.3%
Q1 2005	97.7%	97.8%	93.0%	92.2%
Q4 2004	94.0%	94.1%	90.1%	89.2%
Q3 2004	97.6%	95.2%	84.1%	84.5%
Q2 2004	93.0%	93.1%	66.7%	65.8%
Q1 2004	100.0%	100.0%	72.5%	72.5%
Q4 2003	96.4%	96.5%	73.3%	72.7%
Q3 2003	95.7%	95.8%	79.6%	79.5%
Q2 2003	98.4%	--	78.1%	--

* Starting in Q2 2006, the methodology was changed. Comparisons with previous quarters should not be made.

Timely Social Worker Visits With Child (2C)

This is a process measure designed to determine if social workers are seeing the children on a monthly basis when that is required. Children for whom a determination is made that monthly visits are not necessary (e.g. valid visit exception) are not included in this measure. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state process measure. This report is based on CWS/CMS only. (Other data analysis measurements such as the SafeMeasures application may provide different results.)

Of all children who required a monthly social worker visit, how many received a monthly visit?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#2C>

2C. Percent of timely social worker visits with child ²						
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
Q2 2006	Apr 2006		May 2006		Jun 2006	
	95.8%	--	96.6%	--	93.3%	--
Q1 2006	Jan 2006		Feb 2006		Mar 2006	
	99.3%	--	99.4%	--	99.4%	--
Q4 2005	Oct 2005		Nov 2005		Dec 2005	
	88.4%	--	92.4%	--	92.9%	--
Q3 2005	Jul 2005		Aug 2005		Sep 2005	
	86.8%	--	87.9%	--	87.4%	--
Q2 2005	Apr 2005		May 2005		Jun 2005	
	82.0%	--	82.2%	--	79.6%	--
Q1 2005	Jan 2005		Feb 2005		Mar 2005	
	81.6%	--	84.9%	--	84.0%	--
Q4 2004	Oct 2004		Nov 2004		Dec 2004	
	88.8%	--	87.8%	--	88.2%	--
Q3 2004	Jul 2004		Aug 2004		Sep 2004	
	86.2%	--	87.9%	--	86.5%	--
Q2 2004	Apr 2004		May 2004		June 2004	
	83.4%	82.6%	81.8%	81.0%	83.2%	83.2%
Q1 2004	Jan 2004		Feb 2004		Mar 2004	
	78.8%	78.8%	79.3%	79.5%	81.2%	81.2%
Q4 2003	Oct 2003		Nov 2003		Dec 2003	
	80.4%	80.4%	83.1%	83.1%	82.9%	83.2%
Q3 2003	Jul 2003		Aug 2003		Sep 2003	
	83.0%	--	82.9%	--	82.7%	--
Q2 2003	Apr 2003		May 2003		Jun 2003	
	85.0%	--	85.9%	--	86.1%	--

² Updates to the measure 2C code beginning in Quarter 4, 2004 resulted in a small jump in the percentages from Quarter 3, 2004 to Quarter 4, 2004.

PERMANENCY OUTCOMES

These measures are designed to reflect the number of foster care placements for each child, the length of time a child is in foster care, and the rate that children re-enter foster care after they have returned home or other permanent care arrangements have been made.

Length of Time to Exit Foster Care to Reunification (3E and 3A)

This is an outcome measure reflecting the percent of children reunified within 12 months of removal of a child from the home. The data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

Federal: Of all children who were reunified from child welfare supervised foster care during the 12-month study period, what percent had been in care for less than 12 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3E. Percent reunified within 12 months (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/05-06/30/06	63.9%	--
04/01/05-03/31/06	63.0%	62.5%
01/01/05-12/31/05	61.7%	61.4%
10/01/04-09/30/05	N.A.	62.6%
07/01/04-06/30/05	64.4%	64.7%
04/01/04-03/31/05	71.3%	70.8%
01/01/04-12/31/04	73.7%	73.4%
10/01/03-09/30/04	76.6%	75.8%
07/01/03-06/30/04	80.1%	81.2%
04/01/03-03/31/04	77.7%	77.7%
01/01/03-12/31/03	70.0%	71.2%
10/01/02-09/30/03	70.7%	71.5%
07/01/02-06/30/03	65.9%	66.4%

State: For all children who entered foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, what percent were reunified within 12 months?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/exits/>

3A. Percent reunified within 12 months (entry cohort)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/04-06/30/05	28.2%	--
04/01/04-03/31/05	27.0%	27.5%
01/01/04-12/31/04	25.6%	26.1%
10/01/03-09/30/04	N.A.	29.9%
07/01/03-06/30/04	28.1%	27.6%
04/01/03-03/31/04	28.9%	27.8%
01/01/03-12/31/03	29.3%	28.9%
10/01/02-09/30/03	26.5%	27.0%
07/01/02-06/30/03	29.8%	31.8%
04/01/02-03/31/03	29.1%	32.7%
01/01/02-12/31/02	30.9%	35.6%
10/01/01-09/30/02	31.5%	35.1%
07/01/01-06/30/02	35.7%	38.9%

Length of Time to Exit Foster Care to Adoption (3D and 3A)

This is an outcome measure reflecting the percent of children adopted within 24 months of removal of a child from the home. The data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

Federal: Of all children who were adopted from child welfare supervised foster care during the 12-month study period, what percent had been in care for less than 24 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3D. Percent adopted within 24 months (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/05-06/30/06	59.8%	--
04/01/05-03/31/06	59.8%	60.6%
01/01/05-12/31/05	58.8%	60.0%
10/01/04-09/30/05	N.A.	53.9%
07/01/04-06/30/05	46.2%	50.0%
04/01/04-03/31/05	37.5%	40.7%
01/01/04-12/31/04	32.0%	33.3%
10/01/03-09/30/04	35.1%	37.5%
07/01/03-06/30/04	32.9%	37.7%
04/01/03-03/31/04	37.7%	37.0%
01/01/03-12/31/03	34.0%	39.4%
10/01/02-09/30/03	31.1%	36.6%
07/01/02-06/30/03	34.0%	36.7%

State: For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, what percent were adopted within 24 months?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/exits/>

3A. Percent adopted within 24 months (entry cohort)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/03-06/30/04	15.9%	--
04/01/03-03/31/04	17.6%	17.5%
01/01/03-12/31/03	15.6%	15.6%
10/01/02-09/30/03	N.A.	18.0%
07/01/02-06/30/03	13.8%	15.1%
04/01/02-03/31/03	16.4%	18.5%
01/01/02-12/31/02	18.7%	19.8%
10/01/01-09/30/02	15.6%	17.8%
07/01/01-06/30/02	9.0%	15.3%
04/01/01-03/31/02	7.0%	12.2%
01/01/01-12/31/01	7.3%	10.0%
10/01/00-09/30/01	8.8%	10.3%
07/01/00-06/30/01	7.6%	9.3%

Multiple Foster Care Placements (3B and 3C)

These measures reflect the number of children with multiple placements within 12 months of placement. This data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

Federal: For all children in child welfare supervised foster care for less than 12 months during the 12-month study period, what percent had no more than two placements?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3B. Percent with 1-2 placements within 12 months (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/05-06/30/06	86.1%	--
04/01/05-03/31/06	88.0%	88.1%
01/01/05-12/31/05	89.9%	90.1%
10/01/04-09/30/05	N.A.	92.0%
07/01/04-06/30/05	88.9%	91.4%
04/01/04-03/31/05	89.6%	90.1%
01/01/04-12/31/04	85.3%	87.4%
10/01/03-09/30/04	87.4%	89.0%
07/01/03-06/30/04	87.2%	88.8%
04/01/03-03/31/04	86.0%	85.8%
01/01/03-12/31/03	87.1%	86.8%
10/01/02-09/30/03	89.9%	89.7%
07/01/02-06/30/03	89.8%	91.4%

State: For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, and were in care for 12 months, what percent had no more than two placements?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cohorts/stability/>

3C. Percent with 1-2 placements – if still in care at 12 months (entry cohort)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/04-06/30/05	68.8%	--
04/01/04-03/31/05	69.1%	68.9%
01/01/04-12/31/04	70.9%	70.6%
10/01/03-09/30/04	N.A.	67.9%
07/01/03-06/30/04	63.8%	71.3%
04/01/03-03/31/04	58.7%	65.6%
01/01/03-12/31/03	57.2%	64.2%
10/01/02-09/30/03	57.6%	64.3%
07/01/02-06/30/03	56.2%	63.9%
04/01/02-03/31/03	62.6%	73.8%
01/01/02-12/31/02	63.0%	75.9%
10/01/01-09/30/02	58.9%	71.7%
07/01/01-06/30/02	58.3%	68.7%

Rate of Foster Care Re-Entry (3F and 3G)

This measure reflects the number of children who re-enter foster care subsequent to reunification or guardianship. The data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

Federal: For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care during the 12-month study period, what percent were subsequent entries within 12 months of a prior exit?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3F. Percent of admissions who are re-entries (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/05-06/30/06	10.5%	--
04/01/05-03/31/06	11.9%	12.7%
01/01/05-12/31/05	14.9%	15.0%
10/01/04-09/30/05	N.A.	14.2%
07/01/04-06/30/05	14.5%	15.5%
04/01/04-03/31/05	11.8%	11.8%
01/01/04-12/31/04	11.2%	10.3%
10/01/03-09/30/04	10.3%	9.7%
07/01/03-06/30/04	11.2%	10.0%
04/01/03-03/31/04	11.6%	10.7%
01/01/03-12/31/03	10.3%	9.0%
10/01/02-09/30/03	10.4%	10.1%
07/01/02-06/30/03	9.8%	9.6%

State: For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period and were reunified within 12 months of entry, what percent re-entered foster care within 12 months of reunification?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/reentries/>

3G. Percent who re-entered within 12 months of reunification (entry cohort reunified within 12 months)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/03-06/30/04	24.2%	--
04/01/03-03/31/04	25.0%	24.6%
01/01/03-12/31/03	23.1%	23.1%
10/01/02-09/30/03	N.A.	10.5%
07/01/02-06/30/03	15.3%	11.5%
04/01/02-03/31/03	12.0%	11.3%
01/01/02-12/31/02	13.3%	12.7%
10/01/01-09/30/02	16.1%	15.4%
07/01/01-06/30/02	14.7%	13.9%
04/01/01-03/31/02	17.0%	15.7%
01/01/01-12/31/01	14.6%	12.4%
10/01/00-09/30/01	19.6%	17.4%
07/01/00-06/30/01	20.2%	18.4%

CHILD & FAMILY WELL-BEING OUTCOMES

These measures are designed to reflect the degree to which children in foster care retain relationships with the family and extended communities with whom they are associated at the time of their removal from their parents.

Siblings Placed Together in Foster Care (4A)

These measures reflect the number of children placed with all or some of their siblings in foster care. The data was developed by UCB. It is a state outcome measure.

For all children in child welfare supervised foster care on the point-in-time, of those with siblings in care, what percent were placed with some and/or all of their siblings?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/pointintime/fostercare/childwel/siblings.asp>

4A. Percent of children in foster care that are placed with ALL siblings		
Point-in-time	Original	Updated
Jul 1, 2006	53.8%	--
Apr 1, 2006	57.5%	59.9%
Jan 1, 2006	60.1%	62.4%
Oct 1, 2005	54.5%	57.6%
Jul 1, 2005	54.9%	57.8%
Apr 1, 2005	49.6%	51.5%
Jan 1, 2005	50.2%	53.0%
Oct 1, 2004	48.1%	51.1%
Jul 1, 2004	50.5%	49.4%
Apr 1, 2004	45.9%	47.2%
Jan 1, 2004	47.1%	51.5%
Oct 1, 2003	47.5%	47.5%
Jul 1, 2003	44.7%	46.8%

4A. Percent of children in foster care that are placed with SOME or ALL siblings		
Point-in-time	Original	Updated
Jul 1, 2006	72.4%	--
Apr 1, 2006	74.6%	75.9%
Jan 1, 2006	78.5%	79.2%
Oct 1, 2005	76.3%	77.7%
Jul 1, 2005	75.6%	76.4%
Apr 1, 2005	73.9%	73.6%
Jan 1, 2005	73.1%	72.9%
Oct 1, 2004	72.2%	71.3%
Jul 1, 2004	70.5%	68.9%
Apr 1, 2004	67.1%	67.7%
Jan 1, 2004	68.0%	70.6%
Oct 1, 2003	64.4%	66.3%
Jul 1, 2003	62.6%	65.1%

Foster Care Placement in Least Restrictive Settings (4B)

This measure reflects the percent of children placed in each type of foster care setting. The data was developed by UCB. It is a state outcome measure.

For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, what percent were in kin, foster, FFA, group, and other placements (first placement type, predominant placement type)? What percent of children in child welfare supervised foster care were in kin, foster, FFA, group, and other placements at the specified point in time?

URL: (entry cohort) <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cohorts/firstentries/>

URL: (point in time) <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/pointintime/fostercare/childwel/ageandethnic.asp>

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		Point in Time (PIT) Placement	
	07/01/05-06/30/06		07/01/05-06/30/06		Jul 1, 2006	
	Original		Original		Original	
4B. Relative	7.7%		23.6%		25.4%	
4B. Foster Home	62.7%		38.6%		19.4%	
4B. FFA	22.7%		30.0%		27.2%	
4B. Group/Shelter	0.5%		0.9%		4.4%	
4B. Other	6.4%		6.8%		23.6%	

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		Point in Time (PIT) Placement	
	04/01/05-03/31/06		04/01/05-03/31/06		Apr 1, 2006	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	10.0%	9.4%	22.9%	24.2%	25.3%	25.3%
4B. Foster Home	64.3%	61.4%	43.8%	39.9%	20.5%	20.6%
4B. FFA	22.9%	21.5%	30.0%	27.4%	27.3%	27.2%
4B. Group/Shelter	0.5%	0.4%	1.0%	0.9%	4.2%	4.2%
4B. Other	2.4%	7.2%	2.4%	7.6%	22.7%	22.8%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		Point in Time (PIT) Placement	
	01/01/05-12/31/05		01/01/05-12/31/05		Jan 1, 2006	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	12.3%	11.8%	28.3%	27.2%	25.5%	25.9%
4B. Foster Home	65.1%	61.0%	44.8%	39.0%	21.9%	22.1%
4B. FFA	21.7%	20.6%	25.0%	25.9%	24.6%	23.9%
4B. Group/Shelter	0.5%	0.4%	1.4%	1.3%	5.1%	5.1%
4B. Other	0.5%	6.1%	0.5%	6.6%	23.0%	23.1%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		Point in Time (PIT) Placement	
	10/01/04-09/30/05		10/01/04-09/30/05		Oct 1, 2005	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	N.A.	11.9%	N.A.	30.1%	22.4%	22.9%
4B. Foster Home	N.A.	62.6%	N.A.	40.2%	20.5%	21.4%
4B. FFA	N.A.	20.1%	N.A.	22.4%	24.6%	23.8%
4B. Group/Shelter	N.A.	0.5%	N.A.	1.4%	4.6%	4.7%
4B. Other	N.A.	5.0%	N.A.	5.9%	27.8%	27.3%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		Point in Time (PIT) Placement	
	07/01/04-06/30/05		07/01/04-06/30/05		Jul 1, 2005	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	10.0%	11.8%	23.3%	27.8%	21.6%	22.5%
4B. Foster Home	62.6%	64.6%	44.7%	41.0%	21.1%	21.8%
4B. FFA	24.7%	19.3%	28.3%	24.5%	26.5%	25.4%
4B. Group/Shelter	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	1.4%	5.1%	5.3%
4B. Other	1.8%	3.3%	2.7%	5.2%	25.8%	24.9%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	04/01/04-03/31/05		04/01/04-03/31/05		Apr 1, 2005	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	10.1%	12.3%	28.0%	28.4%	21.8%	22.8%
4B. Foster Home	64.7%	68.1%	43.0%	40.2%	20.1%	20.4%
4B. FFA	23.2%	16.7%	26.6%	24.5%	23.2%	23.4%
4B. Group/Shelter	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	2.0%	6.0%	5.8%
4B. Other	1.4%	2.5%	1.9%	4.9%	28.9%	27.6%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	01/01/04-12/31/04		01/01/04-12/31/04		Jan 1, 2005	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	9.9%	11.2%	24.9%	25.4%	22.4%	23.7%
4B. Foster Home	70.0%	72.2%	45.1%	42.9%	21.7%	21.9%
4B. FFA	17.4%	12.7%	25.8%	22.0%	21.0%	21.0%
4B. Group/Shelter	0.9%	0.5%	1.9%	2.9%	6.4%	6.3%
4B. Other	1.9%	3.4%	2.3%	6.8%	28.6%	27.1%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	10/01/03-09/30/04		10/01/03-09/30/04		Oct 1, 2004	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	11.0%	11.7%	30.1%	29.4%	23.3%	24.3%
4B. Foster Home	70.3%	73.2%	41.5%	40.3%	21.6%	22.9%
4B. FFA	15.3%	11.3%	24.2%	21.2%	19.2%	19.4%
4B. Group/Shelter	1.3%	0.9%	2.1%	3.0%	6.3%	6.2%
4B. Other	2.1%	3.0%	2.1%	6.1%	29.7%	27.2%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	07/01/03-06/30/04		07/01/03-06/30/04		Jul 1, 2004	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	9.7%	10.6%	27.8%	30.1%	21.6%	24.1%
4B. Foster Home	73.4%	74.4%	44.0%	40.2%	18.8%	20.7%
4B. FFA	13.3%	11.4%	23.4%	21.5%	18.8%	20.4%
4B. Group/Shelter	1.2%	0.8%	1.6%	2.8%	5.7%	6.3%
4B. Other	2.4%	2.8%	3.2%	5.3%	35.1%	28.4%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	04/01/03-03/31/04		04/01/03-03/31/04		Apr 1, 2004	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	8.9%	9.1%	24.7%	27.8%	19.0%	21.7%
4B. Foster Home	74.9%	75.5%	48.1%	43.6%	20.2%	23.1%
4B. FFA	11.9%	10.8%	21.3%	19.9%	19.0%	21.5%
4B. Group/Shelter	1.7%	1.2%	3.0%	3.3%	6.1%	6.6%
4B. Other	2.6%	3.3%	3.0%	5.4%	35.7%	27.1%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	01/01/03-12/31/03		01/01/03-12/31/03		Jan 1, 2004	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	8.1%	7.9%	26.0%	28.8%	20.4%	23.9%
4B. Foster Home	78.5%	78.2%	51.1%	46.3%	20.3%	23.0%
4B. FFA	10.3%	10.5%	18.8%	17.9%	17.8%	19.1%
4B. Group/Shelter	1.8%	1.3%	2.7%	3.1%	7.2%	7.7%
4B. Other	1.3%	2.2%	1.3%	3.9%	34.3%	26.3%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	10/01/02-09/30/03		10/01/02-09/30/03		Oct 1, 2003	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	7.5%	7.4%	20.2%	24.5%	18.4%	20.0%
4B. Foster Home	74.6%	75.5%	52.6%	47.7%	25.1%	27.6%
4B. FFA	12.2%	12.5%	19.7%	19.0%	20.8%	20.9%
4B. Group/Shelter	4.2%	2.8%	3.3%	4.6%	5.8%	6.3%
4B. Other	1.4%	1.9%	4.2%	4.2%	30.0%	25.1%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	07/01/02-06/30/03		07/01/02-06/30/03		Jul 1, 2003	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	7.1%	6.6%	16.8%	22.2%	17.8%	19.0%
4B. Foster Home	75.6%	74.7%	54.3%	47.5%	25.7%	27.4%
4B. FFA	13.7%	14.1%	23.4%	20.2%	21.3%	22.0%
4B. Group/Shelter	3.0%	2.5%	3.0%	5.1%	5.4%	5.6%
4B. Other	0.5%	2.0%	2.5%	5.1%	29.9%	26.0%

****Rate of ICWA Placement Preferences (4E)**

4E (1) This measure reflects the percent of Indian Child Welfare Act eligible children placed in foster care settings as identified with ICWA eligibility ("y"). This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state outcome measure.

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#4E>

Q2 2006	Original
4E. Relative Home	39.0%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	7.3%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	53.7%

Q1 2006	Original
4E. Relative Home	23.8%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	4.8%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	71.4%

Q4 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	26.1%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	2.2%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	71.7%

Q3 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	17.5%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	7.5%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	75.0%

Q2 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	27.5%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	5.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	67.5%

Q1 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	26.5%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	2.9%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	70.6%

Q4 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	21.7%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	13.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	65.2%

Q3 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	20.0%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	6.7%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	73.3%

Q2 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	30.8%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	7.7%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	61.5%

Q1 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	19.2%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	30.8%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	50.0%

Q4 2003	Original
4E. Relative Home	36.4%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	18.2%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	45.5%

Q3 2003	Original
4E. Relative Home	29.4%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	17.6%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	50.0%

Q2 2003	Original
4E. Relative Home	31.3%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	12.5%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	56.3%

4E (2) This measure reflects the percent of Indian Child Welfare Act eligible children as identified with primary or mixed (multi) ethnicity of American Indian placed in foster care settings. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state outcome measure.

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#4E>

Q2 2006	Original
4E. Relative Home	22.1%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	6.3%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	71.6%

Q1 2006	Original
4E. Relative Home	16.0%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	6.4%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	76.6%

Q4 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	15.3%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	7.1%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	76.5%

Q3 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	28.6%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	7.8%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	63.6%

Q2 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	19.3%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	8.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	72.7%

Q1 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	29.2%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	2.8%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	68.1%

Q4 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	31.3%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	7.8%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	60.9%

Q3 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	28.6%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	7.8%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	63.6%

Q2 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	35.1%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	9.1%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	55.8%

Q1 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	32.5%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	30.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	37.5%

**Measure 4E(2) was recently developed to reflect percent of ICWA eligible placement types. For county information only. CDSS does not update these measures due to recent methodology changes, which render comparisons between current and previous data meaningless. NOTE: For measures 4E (1) and 4E (2), values for Q1 2004 and Q2 2004 were corrected in April 2006 reports and therefore may not correspond to previously published values.

Children Transitioning to Self-Sufficient Adulthood (8A)

These measures are designed to reflect the degree to which children and families receiving child welfare services are receiving the services necessary to provide for their care and developmental needs.

This measure reflects the number of foster children eligible for Independent Living Services who receive appropriate educational and training, and/or achieve employment or economic self-sufficiency. The data was collected by CDSS. This measure includes data regarding youths, ages 16 through 20, who receive services from the Independent Living Foster Care Program. It identifies the number of youths receiving Independent Living Program services, the program outcomes for those youths, and certain client characteristics. This report is limited to a subset population obtained from State of California form 405A. It is a state outcome measure.

This data is based on hard copy reports submitted by counties to the CDSS for the time period covered by the report. These numbers are updated once per year.

URL: http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In_415.htm

Number of Children Transitioning to Self-Sufficient Adulthood with:

10/01/04-9/30/05	Original
8A. High School Diploma	14
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	8
8A. Received ILP Services	187
8A. Completed Vocational Training	3
8A. Employed or other means of support	25

10/01/03-9/30/04	Original
8A. High School Diploma	16
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	6
8A. Received ILP Services	167
8A. Completed Vocational Training	1
8A. Employed or other means of support	33

10/01/02-9/30/03	Original
8A. High School Diploma	19
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	6
8A. Received ILP Services	198
8A. Completed Vocational Training	3
8A. Employed or other means of support	31

10/01/01-09/30/02	Original
8A. High School Diploma	27
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	12
8A. Received ILP Services	209
8A. Completed Vocational Training	9
8A. Employed or other means of support	39